MIDDLESBROUGH COUNCIL

EXECUTIVE REPORT

Report Title: Capital Programme Small Scheme Allocation

Executive Member for Resources, Nicky Walker

Director of Resources, Paul Slocombe

Date: 26 October 2010

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

1. To consider the scored projects in accordance with the operation of the Small Scheme Allocation.

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

2. Consider the scored projects in accordance with the operation of the Small Scheme Allocation.

IF THIS IS A KEY DECISION WHICH KEY DECISION TEST APPLIES?

| 3. | It is over the financial threshold (£75,000) | |
|----|--|---|
| | It has a significant impact on 2 or more wards | |
| | Non Key | Χ |

DECISION IMPLEMENTATION DEADLINE

4. For the purposes of the scrutiny call in procedure this report is

| Non-urgent | Χ |
|---------------|---|
| Urgent report | |

If urgent please give full reasons



BACKGROUND AND EXTERNAL CONSULTATION

- 5. The Members' Small Scheme Allocation was set up in 2004/05, in accordance with the decision of the Executive meeting on 29th July 2003 and the Council meeting of 6th August 2003.
- 6. The purpose of this Allocation is to allow for small capital schemes to be undertaken that will improve condition, address deficiencies or develop facilities in their ward.
- 7. Ward members are required to submit a Small Scheme Project appraisal form, which is evaluated and scored by a project appraisal panel.
- 8. An allocation of £59,000 is available in 2010/11 for successful schemes.
- 9. Only one scheme per ward will be approved in any one financial year.
- 10. A brief description of each scheme, appraisal and score together with a location plan and photograph where appropriate are set out in Appendix 1. A copy of Appendix 1 will be available in the Members' Library for wider distribution.
- 11. The Schemes for consideration by the Executive Sub-Committee for Property are as follows:

| a) | Holgate Wall | £15,000 |
|----|---|---------|
| b) | Parliament Road Christmas Lights | £15,000 |
| c) | Easterside Community Allotments | £15,000 |
| d) | Dorrien Crescent Parking and Access Scheme | £15,000 |
| e) | Town Farm Allotments Security Improvements | £12,550 |
| f) | Park Road South/Westminster Road Alleygates | £15,000 |
| g) | Nunthorpe Triangle Improvements | £15,000 |

Linthorpe Community Centre Heating Scheme was withdrawn as the works were to be funded from the Councils existing maintenance budgets.

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

12. These schemes will not have an adverse impact in respect of the EIA process.

OPTION APPRAISAL/RISK ASSESSMENT

13. Not Applicable.

FINANCIAL, LEGAL AND WARD IMPLICATIONS

14. **Financial, Legal and Ward Implications** – are dealt with elsewhere in this report. The Capital expenditure and the schemes will have and impact across a number of wards.

RECOMMENDATIONS

15. To consider the scored projects in accordance with the operation of the Small Scheme Allocation.

REASONS

16. Operation of the Small Scheme Allocation in accordance with the Capital Programme Small Scheme Allocation Review, 28th November 2005.

BACKGROUND PAPERS

The following background papers were used in the preparation of this report:

- Capital Programme 2004/05 to 2006/07, report to Council, May 2004
- Capital Programme Small Scheme Allocation, report to Executive Sub-Committee

AUTHOR: Martin Shepherd, Corporate Property Officer

TEL NO: 729812

Address:

Website: http://www.middlesbrough.gov.uk

| MEMBERS SMALL SCHEME ALLOCATION | | |
|---|---------------------------------|----|
| Project Holgate Wall | | |
| Councillor Julia Rostron | | |
| Community Strategy Theme Creating Safer Communities | | |
| Funding Request (£s) £15,000 | | |
| Project Description | Score | |
| The Holgate wall is one of only two original football ground walls in existence. The money would be used to restore, renovate and improve the wall, extending its life. | Community Strategy Theme | 2 |
| Tonovato and improve the waii, extending ite inc. | Stakeholder | 2 |
| | Customer / Community Benefits | 0 |
| | Partnerships | 0 |
| | Project Management | 2 |
| | Environmental | 2 |
| Project Appraisal | Score | |
| Project management and environment. | Health and Safety / Risk | 1 |
| | Urgency | 0 |
| | Statutory / Legal | 0 |
| | Value for Money / Best Value | 0 |
| | Revenue Effects | -1 |
| | Health and Safety | 1 |
| | Earmarked Funding | 0 |

| Other Earmarked Funding | 0 |
|-------------------------|-------------|
| Total Scor | e 10 |

Project Parliament Road Christmas Lights

Councillor Susan Carter

Community Strategy Theme Enhancing the Local Economy

| Project Description | Score | |
|---|----------------------------------|----|
| The installation of Christmas lights on Parliament Road will attract customers to specialist shops in the area, making it brighter and more attractive to all and will encourage both local | Community Strategy Theme | 2 |
| people and people from outside the area to use the shops and businesses. | Stakeholder | 3 |
| | Customer / Community Benefits | 2 |
| | Partnerships | 0 |
| | Project Management | 3 |
| | Environmental | 0 |
| Project Appraisal | Score | |
| The project scored well in terms of stakeholder involvement, customer benefits and project management. | Health and Safety / Risk | 0 |
| | Urgency | 0 |
| | Statutory / Legal | 0 |
| | Value for Money / Best Value | 0 |
| | Revenue Effects | -1 |
| | Health and Safety | 0 |
| | Earmarked Funding | 1 |

| Other Earmarked Funding | 0 |
|-------------------------|----|
| Total Score | 10 |

| | Total Score | 10 |
|---|----------------------------------|----|
| MEMBERS SMALL SCHEME ALLO | CATION | |
| Project Easterside Community Allotments | | |
| Councillor David Budd | | |
| Community Strategy Theme Creating Stronger Communitie | S | |
| Funding Request (£s) £5,000 | | |
| Project Description | Score | |
| Unlocking gated land in Easterside to create growing areas for fruit and vegetables managed and developed in conjunction with the community and the local food co-operatives. | Community Strategy Theme | 2 |
| with the community and the local lood co-operatives. | Stakeholder | 2 |
| | Customer / Community Benefits | 1 |
| | Partnerships | 1 |
| | Project | 2 |

| | Starter relati | _ |
|--|----------------------------------|---|
| | Customer / Community Benefits | 1 |
| | Partnerships | 1 |
| | Project Management | 2 |
| | Environmental | 4 |
| Project Appraisal | Score | |
| The scheme scored well in terms of project management, environmental and earmarked funding | Health and Safety / Risk | 0 |
| | Urgency | 0 |
| | Statutory / Legal | 0 |
| | Value for Money / Best Value | 0 |
| | Revenue Effects | 0 |
| | Health and Safety | 0 |
| | | |

Earmarked Funding

4

| Other Earmarked Funding | 0 |
|-------------------------|----|
| Total Score | 16 |

Project Town Farm Allotment Security

Councillor Peter Purvis

Community Strategy Theme Creating Stronger Communities

| Project Description | Score | |
|---|----------------------------------|---|
| Installation of access control and CCTV system, to reduce incidence of antisocial behaviour, fly tipping, vandalism and burglary. | Community Strategy Theme | 2 |
| zargiary. | Stakeholder | 1 |
| | Customer / Community Benefits | 2 |
| | Partnerships | 0 |
| | Project Management | 2 |
| | Environmental | 4 |
| Project Appraisal | Score | |
| The scheme scored well in terms of customer benefits, project management and environmental. | Health and Safety / Risk | 2 |
| | Urgency | 0 |
| | Statutory / Legal | 0 |
| | Value for Money / Best Value | 0 |
| | Revenue Effects | 1 |
| | Health and Safety | 0 |
| | Earmarked Funding | 1 |

| Other Earmarked Funding | 0 |
|-------------------------|----|
| Total Score | 15 |

Project Dorrien Crescent Parking and Access Scheme

Councillor Eddie Dryden

Community Strategy Theme Creating Stronger Communities

| Project Description | Score | |
|---|----------------------------------|---|
| Increased parking facilities to reduce congestion and reduction in damage to adjoining grassed areas, together with an improved lighting scheme for the area. | Community Strategy Theme | 2 |
| | Stakeholder | 2 |
| | Customer / Community Benefits | 2 |
| | Partnerships | 1 |
| | Project Management | 3 |
| | Environmental | 0 |
| Project Appraisal | Score | |
| The project scored in terms of customer benefits, project management and earmarked funding. | Health and Safety / Risk | 0 |
| | Urgency | 0 |
| | Statutory / Legal | 0 |
| | Value for Money / Best Value | 0 |
| | Revenue Effects | 0 |
| | Health and Safety | 0 |
| | Earmarked Funding | 3 |

| Other Earmarked Funding | 0 |
|-------------------------|----|
| Total Score | 13 |

Project Park Road South/Westminster Road Alleygates

Councillor Joe Michna

Community Strategy Theme Creating Safer Communities

| Project Description | Score | | |
|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| Installation of alley gates at the entrances to the back lanes at Park Road South and Westminster Road. The scheme looks to improve neighbourhood safety, reduce crime and antisocial behaviour and to improve the environment at the proposed location. | Community Strategy Theme | 3 | |
| | Stakeholder | 3 | |
| | | Customer / Community Benefits | 3 |
| | Partnerships | 0 | |
| | Project Management | 3 | |
| | Environmental | 2 | |
| Project Appraisal | Score | | |
| The scheme scored well in terms of community benefits, project management and environmental. | Health and Safety / Risk | 0 | |
| | Urgency | 0 | |
| | Statutory / Legal | 0 | |
| | Value for Money / Best Value | 0 | |
| | Revenue Effects | 2 | |
| | Health and Safety | 0 | |
| | Earmarked Funding | 0 | |

| Other Earmarked Funding | 0 |
|-------------------------|--------------|
| Total Sco | re 16 |

Project Nunthorpe Triangle Improvements

Councillor Peter Sanderson

Community Strategy Theme Securing Environmental Sustainability

| Drainet Description | Saara | |
|---|---------------------------------|---|
| Project Description | Score | |
| Junction improvements, replacement of dead trees and upgrading of walkways, which are shabby. | Community Strategy Theme | 2 |
| | Stakeholder | 0 |
| | Customer / Community Benefits | 2 |
| | Partnerships | 0 |
| | Project Management | 2 |
| | Environmental | 2 |
| Project Appraisal | Score | |
| The scheme scored well in terms of customer benefits and project management. | Health and Safety / Risk | 0 |
| | Urgency | 0 |
| | Statutory / Legal | 0 |
| | Value for Money / Best Value | 0 |
| | Revenue Effects | 0 |
| | Health and Safety | 0 |
| | Earmarked Funding | 0 |

| Other Earmarked Funding | 0 |
|-------------------------|---|
| Total Score | 8 |